CZU: 81`42:32 https://doi.org/10.59295/drgl2025.19

MULTIMODAL DISCOURSE ANALYSIS IN TEACHING SPECIALIZED LANGUAGES: TOOLS FOR UNPACKING POLITICAL MANIPULATION

Ion GUȚU

Lecturer, Ph.D. student
Moldova State University, Chisinau, RM
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8975-3353

Abstract: ***This article explores the application of multimodal discourse analysis (MDA) in teaching specialized languages, particularly focusing on uncovering political manipulation strategies. Drawing from foundational works, including those by Chilton, Fairclough, Kress, and van Leeuwen, the study integrates insights from critical discourse analysis and systemic functional perspectives. By examining political texts such as speeches and advertisements, the study identifies how metaphors, visual rhetoric, and rhetorical devices are used to shape public perception and legitimize political agendas. The findings highlight the importance of multimodal resources in constructing persuasive political messages and the role of discourse in maintaining power structures. Practical implications for education are discussed, emphasizing the need for incorporating MDA into curricula to foster critical literacy and media literacy skills. The study provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing multimodal political discourse, aiming to empower learners to critically engage with political communication.***

Keywords: *multimodal discourse analysis, political manipulation, critical literacy, specialized language teaching, visual rhetoric*

Introduction

In recent years, multimodal discourse analysis (MDA) has emerged as a crucial methodological approach for dissecting the intricate layers of meaning found within various forms of communication. This approach is particularly valuable in the context of teaching specialized languages, where understanding the interplay between different semiotic modes—such as text, image, and sound—can provide deeper insights into the underlying ideologies and power dynamics. This study aims to explore the application of MDA as a tool for unpacking political manipulation in the teaching of specialized languages. By drawing on the theoretical and methodological frameworks provided by key scholars in the field, this study seeks to demonstrate how MDA can be used to dissect and understand the subtle mechanisms of political influence and control.

Political discourse often involves the strategic use of language and other semiotic resources to shape public perception and legitimize power structures. According to Chilton [3], political discourse is inherently manipulative, as it seeks to influence beliefs and actions through carefully constructed narratives. Fairclough [4] emphasizes the critical role of discourse in the construction and maintenance of social power relations.

Similarly, Wodak [12] highlights the importance of analyzing political discourse to reveal the hidden agendas and ideological underpinnings that shape political action. These scholars provide a foundation for understanding the mechanisms through which political discourse operates and influences public perception.

In the context of teaching specialized languages, it is essential to equip learners with the analytical tools necessary to critically engage with political discourse. This involves not only understanding the linguistic features of political texts but also recognizing how visual and other non-verbal elements contribute to meaning-making. Kress and van Leeuwen [6] argue that images and other visual resources play a crucial role in shaping our understanding of the world, often working in conjunction with language to create powerful multimodal messages. Their work highlights the importance of considering multiple modes of communication to fully grasp the nuances of political discourse.

Jewitt [5] provides an extensive overview of the methodologies and analytical frameworks used in MDA, highlighting the importance of a comprehensive approach that integrates linguistic and visual analysis. This integration is particularly important in the analysis of political discourse, where the interplay between text and image often carries significant ideological implications. For example, political campaigns frequently use visual elements to reinforce verbal messages, creating a multimodal narrative that is more persuasive and memorable than text alone.

Machin [8] explores the critical aspects of MDA, emphasizing the importance of understanding how different modes of communication can work together to shape meaning and influence perception. This approach allows for a more nuanced analysis of political texts, considering the broader social and cultural contexts in which these texts are produced and consumed.

The integration of MDA into the teaching of specialized languages also aligns with broader educational goals, such as promoting critical thinking and media literacy. As political communication becomes increasingly multimodal, it is essential for learners to develop the ability to critically analyze the interplay of different semiotic modes. By incorporating MDA into language education, educators can foster a deeper understanding of political discourse and empower students to engage with political texts in a more informed and discerning manner.

We believe it is crucial to examine the theoretical foundations of multimodal discourse analysis and its application in the teaching of specialized languages. By analyzing various examples of political discourse, we aim to illustrate how MDA can be used to uncover the subtle and often covert strategies of political manipulation. The integration of MDA into language education not only enhances linguistic competence but also promotes critical thinking and media literacy, enabling learners to become more informed and discerning consumers of political information.

Conceptual Basis and Analytical Approach

Multimodal discourse analysis (MDA) is grounded in the understanding that communication extends beyond verbal language to include multiple modes of meaning-making. This approach draws on semiotics, the study of signs and symbols, and their use or interpretation. Gunther Kress and Theo van Leeuwen's foundational work, *Reading Im-*

ages: The Grammar of Visual Design, provides a foundational framework for analyzing how images interact with language to convey complex messages [6]. Their approach emphasizes the need to consider the interplay of different semiotic resources in constructing meaning. In *Reading Images*, Kress and van Leeuwen propose a visual grammar that parallels linguistic grammar, detailing how elements such as color, composition, and perspective function similarly to words and syntax in a text. They argue that images, like language, follow specific conventions that can be systematically analyzed to uncover underlying meanings. This visual grammar provides tools for dissecting the visual components of political messages, such as campaign posters, news photos, and social media imagery, to understand how they contribute to the overall communicative act [6].

Multimodality is inherently interdisciplinary, bridging linguistics, visual communication, media studies, and more. This interdisciplinary nature makes MDA particularly suitable for analyzing political communication, which often relies on the seamless integration of various semiotic modes to persuade and inform. By examining the visual, auditory, and linguistic elements together, MDA allows for a comprehensive analysis of political messages, revealing the strategies used to shape public opinion and behavior [5].

In the context of political communication, these multimodal strategies are often employed to shape public opinion and advance specific agendas. Politicians and media outlets use a combination of textual and visual elements to craft persuasive narratives, employing techniques such as framing, metaphor, and visual rhetoric. Understanding these strategies requires a nuanced analysis that goes beyond traditional linguistic methods, making MDA an indispensable tool.

Framing, for instance, involves the selection and emphasis of certain aspects of a perceived reality, thereby influencing how an audience interprets events or issues. Visual frames can be created through camera angles, lighting, and composition, which direct the viewer's attention and elicit specific emotional responses. Linguistic frames are constructed through word choice, tone, and rhetorical structures that shape the narrative. MDA examines how these visual and linguistic frames work together to construct a coherent and persuasive message [8].

Metaphor is another key concept in MDA. Lakoff and Johnson's work on conceptual metaphors highlights how metaphors structure our understanding of abstract concepts through more concrete experiences [7]. In political discourse, metaphors are often used to simplify complex issues and make them more relatable to the public. For example, describing an economic crisis as a "storm" frames it as a natural and uncontrollable event, thus shaping the audience's perception and response. MDA explores how visual metaphors in political imagery complement and reinforce these linguistic metaphors.

Visual rhetoric, a subset of visual communication, focuses on how visual images communicate messages and persuade audiences. It involves the use of images to create arguments and convey points of view. In political communication, visual rhetoric is used to evoke emotions, establish ethos, and create persuasive narratives. For instance, the use of national symbols in political campaigns can evoke a sense of patriotism and unity, thereby strengthening the candidate's appeal. MDA provides tools for analyzing these visual elements, examining how they contribute to the overall persuasive strategy [2].

Norris [9] provides a methodological framework for analyzing multimodal interactions, which is particularly useful for examining the dynamic interplay between different semiotic modes in political discourse. Her approach allows for a more detailed and nuanced analysis of how visual and linguistic elements work together to construct meaning.

O'Halloran [10] offers a systemic-functional perspective on multimodal discourse analysis, which emphasizes the role of different semiotic modes in realizing various communicative functions. This approach is useful for understanding how political texts use multimodal resources to achieve specific rhetorical and ideological goals.

Van Leeuwen [11] introduces new tools for critical discourse analysis, focusing on the interplay between discourse and practice. His work provides valuable insights into how political discourse is constructed and how it functions to maintain power relations and social structures.

Fairclough [4] and Wodak [12] provide essential insights into the role of discourse in maintaining social power relations. Their frameworks for critical discourse analysis help to identify the rhetorical strategies used to legitimize political actions and shape public perception. By combining these insights with the principles of MDA, we can develop a more comprehensive approach to analyzing political discourse.

It has become necessary for teachers to develop instructional strategies that incorporate multimodal analysis into their curricula. This includes designing activities and assessments that encourage students to critically engage with political texts, analyzing both linguistic and visual elements.

The methodology for these types of studies involves a multimodal transcription and analysis approach as outlined by Baldry and Thibault [1]. This approach emphasizes the importance of examining both linguistic and visual elements, considering how these modes interact to create meaning. The texts selected for analysis can include political speeches, campaign advertisements, and news articles, which are rich in both verbal and visual content. By selecting a diverse range of political texts, one should aim to capture various strategies employed in different contexts to manipulate public perception.

Such a methodology should involve several key steps:

1. **Data Collection**: Gathering a representative sample of political texts that include both linguistic and visual elements. The selection criteria is based on the relevance of the texts to current political issues and their richness in multimodal features. The data set includes speeches from prominent political figures, campaign materials from recent elections, and news articles covering significant political events. This diverse selection ensures a comprehensive analysis of different multimodal strategies used in political discourse.
2. **Transcription**: Creating detailed transcriptions of the texts, noting the use of language, images, layout, and other semiotic resources. The transcription process involves segmenting the texts into meaningful units and annotating each segment with descriptive labels to capture the multimodal features accurately. This detailed transcription allows for a thorough analysis of how different semiotic modes interact to create meaning. The transcription process can be divided into:

- **Segmentation**: The text is segmented into meaningful units, which can be sentences, phrases, or other relevant chunks of information. Each segment is analyzed individually to ensure a thorough examination of all elements.
- **Linguistic Annotation**: The linguistic components of the text are transcribed verbatim. This includes noting down the exact words, phrases, and sentences used in the speech or text. Special attention is given to rhetorical devices, such as repetition, parallelism, and metaphors, which are marked and categorized accordingly.
- **Visual Annotation**: Visual elements are meticulously described and annotated. This includes images, colors, typography, and layout features. For each visual element, notes are made about its position, size, color scheme, and any other relevant attributes. For example, in a campaign advertisement, an image of the candidate might be annotated with details about their pose, facial expression, clothing, and background setting.
- **Multimodal Interaction**: The interaction between text and visual elements is analyzed to understand how they work together to create meaning. This involves noting how images complement or contradict the verbal message, how layout and design elements guide the viewer's attention, and how visual rhetoric is employed to reinforce or modify the textual message. For instance, a speech about economic growth might be accompanied by images of bustling marketplaces and thriving businesses, which serve to visually emphasize the spoken claims.
- **Temporal Sequencing**: In cases of video or multimedia texts, temporal sequencing involves noting the timing of visual elements in relation to the spoken or written text. For example, in a political speech video, annotations might include timestamps for when certain images appear on screen, synchronized with specific parts of the speech.
- **Intersemiotic Relations**: This step involves analyzing the relationships between different semiotic modes, such as how text and image interact to convey a cohesive message. It includes identifying patterns of coherence and cohesion across modes, such as how an image might illustrate a point made verbally or how color schemes might evoke emotional responses that align with the text's sentiment.

3. **Coding**: Identifying and categorizing key themes, rhetorical strategies, and multimodal elements within texts. The coding process can be iterative, involving multiple rounds of coding and refinement to ensure the reliability and validity of the identified themes and strategies. This iterative process helps to uncover patterns and connections between different semiotic modes and their contributions to political manipulation.
    - **Initial Coding**: In the initial phase, broad categories are identified based on the research questions and theoretical framework. These might include categories like "metaphors," "visual framing," "rhetorical devices," and "ideological themes."
    - **Focused Coding**: In subsequent rounds, more specific codes are developed to capture finer details. For example, within the "metaphors" category, subcodes might include "war metaphors," "economic metaphors," and "natural

metaphors." This focused coding helps to organize the data systematically and allows for a more nuanced analysis.

4. **Analysis**: This step inolves comparing the multimodal strategies across different texts and contexts to identify common patterns and unique variations. By applying these theoretical frameworks, we aim to uncover the underlying mechanisms of political manipulation and demonstrate the utility of MDA in the analysis and teaching of specialized languages.

Unpacking Multimodal Strategies: Findings and Implications

The analysis of political texts often reveals several key strategies of political manipulation that are commonly employed in multimodal political discourse. These strategies include the use of metaphor, framing, visual rhetoric, and various rhetorical devices to shape audience perception and legitimize political agendas. By examining these elements in detail, we can better understand how multimodal resources are used to influence public opinion and maintain power structures.

Lakoff and Johnson [7] emphasize the role of metaphors in shaping our cognitive frameworks and influencing how we perceive and understand complex issues. In political texts, metaphors are frequently used to frame political actions and policies in a favorable light. For example, military interventions are often described using metaphors of "protection" and "defense," which serve to legitimize these actions and downplay their aggressive nature. By framing military actions as necessary for protection, political actors can create a narrative that justifies their decisions and minimizes opposition [13].

We can also scrutinize the use of economic metaphors to frame political issues. For instance, government spending can often be discussed in terms of "investment" and "growth," suggesting positive outcomes and long-term benefits. This framing helps to create a positive perception of government policies, even when they involve significant expenditures. By using metaphors that evoke growth and prosperity, political actors can shape public perception and gain support for their policies [14].

Kress and van Leeuwen [6] highlight the importance of visual elements in constructing meaning and influencing audience perception. In political advertisements and campaign materials, images are strategically used to evoke emotions and reinforce verbal messages. For example, images of smiling families and patriotic symbols can be commonly used to create positive associations with political candidates and their policies. These visual elements can quickly convey complex messages and elicit emotional responses, making them powerful tools for political manipulation.

The use of color, layout, and typography can also play a significant role in shaping audience perception. Color schemes are often chosen to evoke specific emotions or associations, such as using national colors to evoke patriotism or muted tones to convey seriousness and reliability. The layout and typography are designed to guide the viewer's attention and emphasize key points, making the message more accessible and impactful. For example, bold headlines and strategically placed images can draw attention to important information and create a sense of urgency or importance.

As previously mentioned, scholars usually discuss the various rhetorical strategies used in political discourse to influence public opinion. The analysis of political speeches

reveals the frequent use of rhetorical devices such as repetition, parallelism, and appeals to authority. These strategies enhance the persuasiveness of political messages by creating a sense of coherence, authority, and emotional appeal.

Repetition is often used to reinforce key messages and create a sense of urgency. For example, repeated references to "security" and "safety" in political speeches emphasize the importance of these issues and frame the speaker as a protector of the public. Parallelisms, or the use of similar grammatical structures in successive phrases, create a rhythm and flow that make the speech more engaging and memorable. Appeals to authority, such as citing experts or referencing historical figures, add credibility to the speaker's arguments and bolster their legitimacy [15].

The analysis also revealed how different semiotic modes work together to create a coherent and persuasive political message. For instance, in campaign advertisements, the verbal message emphasized the candidate's commitment to economic growth, while the accompanying images depicted bustling cities and successful businesses. This visual representation reinforces the verbal claims, creating a synergistic effect that enhances the overall impact of the message.

The integration of visual and linguistic elements is particularly evident in political campaign materials. Images of candidates interacting with diverse groups of people, combined with slogans emphasizing unity and progress, create a powerful multimodal narrative. This narrative not only conveys the candidate's political platform but also constructs an image of the candidate as a relatable and trustworthy leader.

The analysis of multimodal political texts also highlights the role of discourse in shaping ideologies and maintaining power structures. Chilton [3] and Fairclough [4] argue that political discourse is inherently ideological, as it seeks to legitimize certain power relations and social practices. By analyzing the multimodal elements of political texts, we can uncover the ideological underpinnings of political messages and understand how they serve to maintain the status quo.

For example, the use of nationalistic imagery and rhetoric in political campaigns often serves to reinforce notions of national identity and unity. By appealing to shared values and collective identity, political actors can legitimize their authority and garner support for their policies. Similarly, the use of economic metaphors and visual representations of prosperity can reinforce neoliberal ideologies and justify policies that prioritize economic growth over social welfare.

Practical instructional strategies could include activities such as multimodal text analysis, where students deconstruct political advertisements and speeches to identify the rhetorical and visual strategies used. Additionally, teachers can design assessments that require students to create their own multimodal political messages, applying the principles of MDA to construct persuasive narratives. These activities not only enhance linguistic competence but also foster critical thinking and media literacy.

Overall, the integration of MDA into the teaching of specialized languages provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing political discourse and developing critical literacy skills. When teachers help students learn how to analyze political texts critically, they give them the skills to understand and participate more effectively in political discussions and the democratic process.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated the efficacy of Multimodal Discourse Analysis (MDA) in dissecting the persuasive strategies inherent in political communication, particularly within the context of specialized language education. By examining the interplay of verbal and visual elements in political texts, we identified common manipulative techniques, including strategic metaphor use and visual framing, which aim to shape public perception and reinforce existing power structures. The findings strongly advocate for integrating MDA into language curricula. Such integration moves beyond traditional language skills, cultivating the critical and media literacy necessary for learners to navigate the complex, multimodal nature of contemporary political discourse. Ultimately, fostering these analytical capabilities empowers individuals to engage more critically and knowledgeably with the messages designed to influence their beliefs and actions in the public domain.

Furthermore, the analytical skills honed through MDA extend beyond the specialized language classroom, equipping individuals to critically evaluate multimodal messages encountered daily across various media platforms. In an era where digital communication often blurs the lines between information, persuasion, and manipulation, the ability to deconstruct how meaning is made multimodally becomes a fundamental aspect of informed citizenship. By fostering such competencies, educators contribute not only to linguistic proficiency but also to the resilience of public discourse against subtle forms of influence, thereby supporting a more discerning and engaged populace.

References:

1. BALDRY, A., & THIBAULT, P. J. *Multimodal Transcription and Text Analysis*. Equinox, 2006.
2. BATEMAN, J. A. *Text and Image: A Critical Introduction to the Visual/Verbal Divide*. Routledge, 2014
3. CHILTON, P. *Analysing Political Discourse: Theory and Practice*. Routledge, 2004
4. FAIRCLOUGH, N. *Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language*. Longman, 1995
5. JEWITT, C. *The Routledge Handbook of Multimodal Analysis*. Routledge, 2009
6. KRESS, G., & VAN LEEUWEN, T. *Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design*. Routledge, 2021
7. LAKOFF, G., & JOHNSON, M. *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press, 2003
8. MACHIN, D. *What is Multimodal Critical Discourse Studies?* In Critical Discourse Studies, 10(4), 2013, pp. 347-355.
9. NORRIS, S. *Analyzing Multimodal Interaction: A Methodological Framework*. Routledge, 2004.
10. O'HALLORAN, K. L. *Multimodal Discourse Analysis: Systemic-Functional Perspectives*. Continuum, 2004.
11. VAN LEEUWEN, T. *Discourse and Practice: New Tools for Critical Discourse Analysis*. Oxford University Press, 2008
12. Wodak, R. *The Discourse of Politics in Action: Politics as Usual*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
13. Remarks by Secretary of Defense Lloyd J. Austin III at the Reagan National Defense Forum, U.S. Deartment of Defense website, accessed 25 March 2025, https://www.defense.

gov/News/Speeches/Speech/Article/3604755/a-time-for-american- leadership-remarks-by-secretary-of-defense-lloyd-j-austin-i/
14. Spring Budget 2024 speech as delivered by Chancellor Jeremy Hunt, accessed 26 March 2025, https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/spring-budget-2024-speech
15. Remarks by the President in State of the Union Address, January 20, 2015, the White House website, accessed 30 March, 2025, https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2015/01/20/remarks-president-state-union-address-january-20-2015